REMARKS

The Office Action dated April 20, 2005 appears to be the result of a clerical error on the part of the USPTO. In particular, the Office Action is for the purpose of contending that a drawing amendment allegedly filed March 3, 2005 is deficient.

However, in the response filed March 3, 2005, no drawing changes were made. Thus, the April 20, 2005 Office Action should not have been sent, and appears to be in error. The Examiner preliminarily confirmed this (that the April 20 Office Action was a clerical error on the part of the USPTO) during a telephone discussion with the undersigned on May 5, 2005.

Since there were no drawing changes made in the March 3, 2005 response, there is nothing for applicant to do in response to the April 20, 2005 Office Action (other than point out the clerical error on the part of the USPTO). If any minor matter remains to be resolved, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned with regard to the same.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE

By:

Joseph A. Rhoa Reg. No. 37,515

JAR:caj 1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714

Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100